

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,941	03/11/2004	Martha G. Welch	5199-134	8041
30551	7590 07/15/20	05	EXAMINER	
LESLIE GI	LADSTONE REST.	HARLE, JENNIFER I		
	YSMAN MILLSTEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
163 MADISON AVENUE			ARTONII	TATER NUMBER
PO BOX 1989			1654	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-1989			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	rat d	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/799,941	WELCH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer I. Harle	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, its less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron a, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

ke

Application/Control Number: 10/799,941 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 17-22, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of secretin in combination with a therapeutically effective amount of oxytocin<sup>1</sup>, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 9-10 and 16, drawn to a method for treating gastrointestinal disorders in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of secretin in combination with a therapeutically effective amount of oxytocin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claims 11-12,16, and 23, drawn to a method for treating central nervous system disorders in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of secretin in combination with a therapeutically effective amount of oxytocin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- IV. Claims 13-14 and 16, drawn to a method of treating autoimmune disorders in a subject comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of secretin in combination with a therapeutically effective amount of oxytocin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- V. Claim 15 and 16, drawn to a method for treating pain in a subject comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of secretin in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note that the various intended uses of the composition per se do not distinguish the various compositions.

combination with a therapeutically effective amount of oxytocin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Page 3

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, i.e. the combination product of secretin and oxytocin can be used for treating pain, autoimmune disorders, central nervous system disorders, gastrointestinal disorders.
- 3. Searching Groups I-V would impose a serious search burden on the examiner. The search for the combination product and the different methods are not coextensive. Group I encompasses a search for the combination product only, whereas the searches for Groups II-V would require a text searches for the various different methods of treating gastrointestinal diseases, central nervous system disorders, autoimmune disorders, and pain in addition to a search for the combination product for each of the different methods and the various disease encompassed within them. Prior art which teaches the combination product would not necessarily be applicable to the method(s) of using the combination product even if it was found that it would have the specific activity claimed. Moreover, even if the combination product were known, the method of using/treating with the product may be novel and unobvious in view of the

Application/Control Number: 10/799,941

Art Unit: 1654

preamble or active steps. Searching Groups I and II or III or IV or V together would impose serious search burden.

4. Claims 9-14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising Groups II-IV. If Applicant elects any of Groups II-IV, Applicant is required to select a specific disorder from the Group elected. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found

Art Unit: 1654

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP §821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to the final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in Light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claim or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, not that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,941 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer I. Harle whose telephone number is (571) 272-2763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm,.

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer I. Harle Examiner

Art Unit 1654

July 13, 2005